

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
29 January 2009 (29.01.2009)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2009/013644 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification:

**H04B 3/32** (2006.01) **H04L 25/02** (2006.01)  
**H04L 25/08** (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/IB2008/052102

(22) International Filing Date: 29 May 2008 (29.05.2008)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:

07 05260 20 July 2007 (20.07.2007) FR

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **EXCEM**  
[FR/FR]; 12 chemin des Hauts de Clairefontaine, F-78580  
Maule (FR).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BROYDE, Frederic**  
[FR/FR]; 12 chemin des Hauts de Clairefontaine, F-78580  
Maule (FR). **CLAVELIER, Evelyne** [FR/FR]; 12 chemin  
des Hauts de Clairefontaine, F-78580 Maule (FR).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every

kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

(54) Title: METHOD AND DEVICE FOR PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL TRANSMISSION




FIG. 13

(57) Abstract: The invention relates to a method and a device for pseudo-differential transmission in interconnections used for sending a plurality of electrical signals. The ends of an interconnection (1) having 4 transmission conductors and a return conductor (10) distinct from the reference conductor (7) are each connected to a termination circuit (4). Three damping circuits (8) are connected between the return conductor (10) and the reference conductor (7). The transmitting circuits (5) receive at their inputs the signals from the 4 channels of the two sources (2), and are connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1). The receiving circuits (6) are connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1), each receiving circuit (6) being such that the 4 channels of a source (2) connected to a transmitting circuit (5) in the activated state are sent to the four channels of the destinations (3) without noticeable external crosstalk.

## Method and device for pseudo-differential transmission.

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5           The invention relates to a method and a device for pseudo-differential transmission through interconnections used for sending a plurality of electrical signals, such as the interconnections made with multiconductor cables, or with the traces of a printed circuit board, or inside an integrated circuit.

          The French patent application number 07/05260 of 20 July 2007, entitled "Procédé et  
10   dispositif pour les transmissions pseudo-différentielles" is incorporated by reference.

## PRIOR ART

          Let us consider the problem of transmission through an interconnection, for obtaining  $m$  transmission channels,  $m$  being an integer greater than or equal to 2. Each transmission channel may be used for transmitting signals of any type, for instance analog signals or digital  
15   signals, from a source to a destination. We consider here that a digital signal is a signal whose value is defined only at discrete points in time, the set of the values that the signal may take on being discrete. We consider also that each value of a digital signal corresponds to a voltage or current interval. This definition of a digital signal as a "digital signal defined by voltage or current intervals" includes:

- 20   - the binary signals used in binary signaling, that is to say any signal such that, in each transmission channel, the set of the values that this signal may take on has 2 elements;  
- the  $N$ -ary signals ( $N$  being an integer greater than or equal to 3) used in multilevel signaling, that is to say any signal such that, in each transmission channel, the set of the values that this signal may take on has  $N$  elements.

25           Binary signals are the signals which are the most frequently used today by digital integrated circuits, for instance the integrated circuits of the HCMOS family, which is well known to specialists. Multilevel signals, for instance quaternary signals (sometimes referred to as PAM-4 or 4-PAM), are used to obtain high bit rates.

          We will consider that any signal which does not comply with this definition of a digital  
30   signal is an analog signal. Consequently, the result of any type of modulation of a carrier by a digital signal will be regarded as an analog signal.

          Said transmission may be obtained through an interconnection comprising  $n + 1$  conductors, among which  $n$  transmission conductors, with  $n = m$ . A device for transmission in such an interconnection is shown in Figure 1, this device comprising an interconnection (1)  
35   having  $n = 4$  transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) and a reference conductor (7), that

is to say a ground conductor. In Fig. 1, each end of the interconnection is connected to a termination circuit (4). The transmitting circuit (5) receives at its input the signals of the 4 channels of the source (2), and its 5 output terminals are connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1), one of these conductors being ground. The receiving circuit (6) has its 5 input terminals connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1), one of these conductors being ground. The device shown in Figure 1 provides 4 transmission channels, such that the signals of the 4 channels of the source (2) are sent to the 4 channels of the destination (3). The device shown in Figure 1 is somewhat general. For instance, according to the case, one and/or the other termination could comprise no component. For instance, according to the case, the transmitting circuit (5) and/or the receiving circuit (6) could comprise no component. However, devices differing from the one shown in Figure 1 are also conceivable, for instance devices for transmission comprising several sources and/or several destinations, based on a data bus architecture.

The simplest transmission method for obtaining  $m$  transmission channels uses  $m$  single-ended links. Using  $m$  single-ended links, each transmission channel uses one transmission conductor of the interconnection, and the reference conductor (ground) is used for the return current produced by the currents flowing on the  $m$  transmission conductors. This scheme may be implemented according to Figure 1. This method is subject to two detrimental phenomena: echo and crosstalk.

The prior art concerning transmission without echo and without crosstalk, applicable to this patent application, is set out in the 3 following patents:

- the French patent number 0300064 of 6 January 2003 entitled "Procédé et dispositif pour la transmission avec une faible diaphonie", corresponding to the international application number PCT/EP2003/015036 of 24 December 2003 (WO 2004/062129), entitled "Method and device for transmission with reduced crosstalk";
- the French patent number 0302814 of 6 March 2003 entitled "Procédé et dispositif numériques pour la transmission avec une faible diaphonie", corresponding to the international application number PCT/EP2004/002382 of 18 February 2004 (WO 2004/079941), entitled "Digital method and device for transmission with reduced crosstalk";
- the French patent number 0303087 of 13 March 2003 entitled "Procédé et dispositif pour la transmission sans diaphonie", corresponding to the international application number PCT/EP2004/002383 of 18 February 2004 (WO 2004/082168), entitled "Method and device for transmission without crosstalk".

The inventions described in these three patents may be implemented according to Figure 1. The article of F. Broydé and E. Clavelier entitled "A New Method for the Reduction of Crosstalk and Echo in Multiconductor Interconnections", published in the journal *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, vol. 52, No. 2, pages 405 to 416, in February 2005,

corrected and supplemented by the article of F. Broydé and E. Clavelier entitled “Corrections to «A New Method for the Reduction of Crosstalk and Echo in Multiconductor Interconnections»”, published in the journal *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, vol. 53, No. 8, p. 1851 in August 2006, proves that the inventions described in said French patents  
5 number 0300064, number 0302814 and number 0303087 are indeed suitable for removing crosstalk between the different transmission channels obtained with said interconnection, and also for removing echo.

However, there are other crosstalk phenomena which may produce noise. Such phenomena are produced by some electromagnetic couplings between some conductors of said  
10 interconnection and other nearby conductors, for instance when said interconnection and these other conductors are built on the same printed circuit board. Such an “other nearby conductor” may for instance be a power supply conductor, a conductor of a link for digital signals, etc. We shall refer to these phenomena as “external crosstalk”, for distinguishing them from crosstalk between said transmission channels, which shall be referred to as “internal crosstalk”.

For instance, let us consider a loop A comprising one of said other conductors and a  
15 return path for the current. The variable currents flowing in this loop A may induce disturbance voltages in a loop B used for transmission in said interconnection. Conversely, the variable currents flowing in this loop B used for transmission in said interconnection may induce disturbance voltages in said loop A. In both cases, the disturbance voltage caused by external  
20 crosstalk is a noise proportional to the absolute value of the common impedance between the loop A and the loop B. We may distinguish two cases:

- when said loop A and said loop B have no conductor in common, the common impedance is only produced by mutual induction;
- when said loop A and said loop B have a conductor in common, the common impedance  
25 comprises a resistance and the reactance produced by mutual induction.

In the second case, the partial mutual inductance per unit length of the path in the conductor in common is generally much larger than the partial mutual inductance per unit length of the path in the distinct conductors (see the book of F. W. Grover entitled “Inductance Calculation”, published by Dover Publications). Consequently, we may say that the presence  
30 of a conductor in common tends to produce a strong coupling between the loop A and the loop B.

A transmission scheme using the reference conductor, which is often called the ground conductor, as a return path for the return current produced by the currents flowing in the  $n$  transmission conductors, will therefore often suffer from a strong coupling between said  
35 transmission channels and the variable currents injected into the reference conductor by other conductors. For instance, the variable current flowing in a power supply conductor power feeding an integrated circuit will produce a return current flowing in the reference conductor,

if the reference conductor is used for the return of power supply currents, as is the case for the low-voltage CMOS digital integrated circuits of the LVC family, well known to specialists. For instance, the variable current of a conductor of another signal link will produce a return current flowing in the reference conductor, if the reference conductor is used by this signal link for the return of the currents inherent to the signals, as is the case for said LVC family.

The particular case of external crosstalk involving ground as a conductor in common to the loop A and the loop B is sometimes called “ground noise” or “ground bounce”.

If the interconnection is used for building  $m$  single-ended links, the reference conductor (ground) is used for the return current produced by the currents flowing on the  $n = m$  transmission conductors, as in the case shown in Figure 1. This scheme is consequently vulnerable to external crosstalk (it is also subject to internal crosstalk).

If the interconnection is used according to one of the inventions described in said French patents number 0300064, number 0302814 and number 0303087, the reference conductor (ground) is also used for the return current produced by the currents flowing on the  $n$  transmission conductors, as shown in Figure 1. These inventions, which are suitable for reducing or eliminating internal crosstalk, are therefore prone to external crosstalk.

However, there are transmission methods intended to provide a good protection against external crosstalk: differential links (see for instance the book of H. W. Johnson and M. Graham entitled *High-speed digital design: a handbook of black magic*, published by Prentice Hall PTR in 1993), and pseudo-differential links (see for instance the section II of the paper of A. Carusone, K. Farzan and D.A. Johns entitled “Differential signaling with a reduced number of signal paths” published in *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II*, vol. 48, No. 3, pp. 294-300 in March 2001 and the section 4.2.3 of the book of F. Yuan entitled *CMOS current-mode circuits for data communications*, published by Springer in 2007).

A differential device for transmission providing  $m$  transmission channels uses an interconnection having  $n = 2m$  transmission conductors. A pseudo-differential device for transmission providing  $m$  transmission channels uses an interconnection having  $n = m$  transmission conductors and a common conductor distinct from the reference conductor (ground).

It should be noted that the wording “pseudo-differential” is also applied to devices which are not related in any way to pseudo-differential transmission. For instance, the patent application number US 2006/0267633 of the United States of America entitled “Pseudo-differential output driver with high immunity to noise and jitter” relates to a device having one differential input channel and one single-ended output channel: this device is not related to pseudo-differential transmission in any way. For instance, the patent number 5,638,322 of the United States of America entitled “Apparatus and method for improving common mode noise rejection in pseudo-differential sense amplifiers” relates to sense amplifiers which to some

extent look like conventional differential amplifiers: this invention is not related to pseudo-differential transmission in any way.

A pseudo-differential device for transmission is shown in Figure 2, this device comprising an interconnection (1) having  $n = 4$  transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) plus a common conductor (10) distinct from the reference conductor (7). Let us stress that the common conductor (10) is not related at all to the previous discussion relating to loops having “a conductor in common”.

In Figure 2, the transmitting circuit (5) receives at its input the signals of the 4 channels of the source (2), and its 5 output terminals are connected to the  $n + 1 = 5$  conductors of the interconnection (1), one of these conductors being the common conductor (10). The receiving circuit (6) has its 5 input terminals connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1), one of these conductors being the common conductor (10). The receiving circuit (6) produces voltages at its output terminals connected to the destination (3), each of these voltages being determined by one and only one of the voltages between one of the transmission conductors and the common conductor. The device shown in Figure 2 provides 4 transmission channels, such that the signals of the 4 channels of the source (2) are sent to the 4 channels of the destination (3).

In Figure 2, there is no termination circuit, as is the case in the patent of the United States of America number 5,818,261 entitled “Pseudo-differential bus driver/receiver for field programmable devices”, in the patent of the United States of America number 5,994,925 entitled “Pseudo-differential logic receiver” and in the patent of the United States of America number 7,099,395 entitled “Reducing coupled noise in pseudo-differential signaling”. Consequently, in the case of Figure 2, substantial reflections of signals occur, and the specialists know that this implies limitations on the length  $L$  of the interconnection ( $L$  must be sufficiently small) and on the available bandwidth.

In Figure 2, since no termination is present, there is no constraint on the manner of routing the interconnection (1) with respect to ground (7). Consequently, in Figure 2, the reference conductor (7) is represented as an irregular geometrical shape, such that the distance between the conductors of the interconnection (1) and the reference conductor (7) varies as a function of the abscissa  $z$  along the interconnection. This implies that it is *a priori* not possible to model propagation in the interconnection using a multiconductor transmission line having  $n + 2 = 6$  conductors, the multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length.

Two other pseudo-differential devices for transmission are shown in Figure 3 and in Figure 4, these devices each comprising:

- an interconnection (1) having  $n = 4$  transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) plus a common conductor (10) distinct from the reference conductor (7);

- a transmitting circuit (5) receiving at its input the signals of the 4 channels of the source (2);
- a receiving circuit (6) having its output connected to the destination (3).

In the Figures 3 and 4, we find a termination (4), as in the patent of the United States of America number 6,195,395 entitled "Multi-agent pseudo-differential signaling scheme". In the case of Figure 3, the termination (4) is made of  $m = 4$  resistors (401) (402) (403) (404) each connected between a transmission conductor and ground. In the case of Figure 4, the termination (4) is made of  $m + 1 = 5$  resistors,  $m = 4$  resistors (401) (402) (403) (404) being connected as in Figure 3, and a resistor (410) being connected between the common conductor (10) and the reference conductor (7).

In Figures 3 and 4, instead of being connected to ground, the resistors of the termination (4) could be connected to a node intended to present a fixed voltage with respect to ground, for instance a power supply voltage. This technique is for instance used in the pseudo-differential signaling scheme using integrated circuits of the Gunning Transceiver Logic (GTL) family, which is well known to specialists. Each resistor connected to a conductor of the interconnection (1) could also be replaced with another known type of termination (see for instance the chapter 6 of the above-mentioned book of H. W. Johnson and M. Graham), for instance a split termination (also referred to as "Thevenin termination") comprising 2 resistors, the first resistor being inserted between this conductor of the interconnection and ground, the second resistor being inserted between this conductor of the interconnection and a node presenting a fixed voltage with respect to ground.

In Figures 3 and 4, since a grounded termination (4) is used to avoid the reflection of signals propagating along the interconnection (1), it is clear for the specialist that the interconnection must be designed in such a way that it is possible to model the propagation in the interconnection using a multiconductor transmission line having  $n + 2 = 6$  conductors, the multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length, the multiconductor transmission line using as variables the  $n$  natural voltages (which are defined with respect to the reference conductor) and the  $n$  natural currents flowing on the transmission conductors and on the common conductor. This result is typically obtained with a geometry of the interconnection (1) and the reference conductor (7) such that the cross section of the interconnection (1) and the reference conductor (7), in a plane orthogonal to the direction of propagation, does not change over the greatest part of the length of the interconnection, in the vicinity of the transmission conductors. In order to indicate this requirement, the reference conductor (7) is, in the Figures 3 and 4, represented as a uniform geometrical shape, such that the distance between the conductors of the interconnection (1) and the reference conductor (7) does not vary as a function of the abscissa  $z$  along the interconnection.

In Figures 3 and 4, the use of a termination (4) made of resistors connected to ground for avoiding the reflection of signals propagating along the interconnection (1) also implies

that this termination may provide an impedance matrix not too different from the characteristic impedance matrix of said multiconductor transmission line having  $n + 2$  conductors. The specialists understand that this may happen only if the characteristic impedance matrix of said multiconductor transmission line having  $n + 2$  conductors is such that the absolute value of each of the diagonal components is much larger than the absolute value of each of the non-diagonal components, in a suitable frequency band. This implies that the transmission conductors are in a way closer to the reference conductor (7) than to the common conductor (10).

The Figures 5 and 6 show each a cross section of the interconnection (1) and the reference conductor (7), in a plane orthogonal to the direction of propagation, for interconnections built in or on a printed circuit board. For each of these interconnections, the characteristic impedance matrix of said multiconductor transmission line having  $n + 2$  conductors is such that the absolute value of each of the diagonal components is much larger than the absolute value of each of the non-diagonal components. Figure 5 corresponds to a microstrip structure comprising the conductors of the interconnection (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) and a reference conductor (7) made of a ground plane. Figure 6 corresponds to a stripline structure comprising the conductors of the interconnection (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) and a reference conductor (7) made of an upper ground plane (71) and a lower ground plane (72), these two ground planes being sufficiently connected the one to the other, using vias (not shown in Figure 6). The lower ground plane (72) could for instance be replaced with a power plane. In this case, the connections between the two planes (71) (72) would be made through capacitors.

As indicated in said patent of the United States of America number 6,195,395, the state of the art as regards fighting against external crosstalk requires that the routing of all transmission conductors and of the common conductor must be matched, so that substantially equal noise voltages are obtained on all conductors of the interconnection. This seems to be achieved for the configurations shown in Figure 5 and 6. Unfortunately, we see that, in Figures 5 and 6, the common conductor (10) is close to a transmission conductor (14), but far from other transmission conductors (11) (12). The currents flowing into the reference conductor (7) because of a loop A will consequently induce different voltages in the different conductors of the interconnection, and it will not be possible to eliminate the external crosstalk.

Consequently, the specialist understands that, according to the state of the art, there is a discrepancy between an effective protection against external crosstalk which implies that the transmission conductors are in a way closer to the common conductor than to the reference conductor, and reduced reflections which imply that the transmission conductors are in a way closer to the reference conductor than to the common conductor.

Consequently, there is no known method for transmission through an interconnection



having  $n + 1$  conductors, effectively protected against external crosstalk and capable of operating with reduced reflections.

The French patent application number 07/04421 of 21 June 2007, entitled “Dispositif d’interface pseudo-différentiel avec circuit de terminaison” and the international application  
5 number PCT/IB2008/051826 of 8 May 2008, entitled “Pseudo-differential interfacing device having a termination circuit”, describe terminations which do not produce return currents flowing mainly in the reference conductor or in a power supply conductor. Such terminations may therefore be used to improve pseudo-differential transmission.

However, prior art does not describe the conditions, relating to the structure of the  
10 interconnection and to proportioning of such terminations, which provide an effective reduction of reflections and external crosstalk. Consequently, there is no known method for transmission through an interconnection having  $n + 1$  conductors, effectively protected against external crosstalk and capable of operating with reduced reflections.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

15 The purpose of the method of the invention is the transmission through an interconnection having two or more transmission conductors, reflections at at least one end of the interconnection being reduced, and the transmission being protected against external crosstalk.

The invention is about a method for transmitting through an interconnection having  $n$   
20 transmission conductors and a return conductor distinct from the reference conductor,  $n$  being an integer greater than or equal to 2, the interconnection being structurally combined with the reference conductor throughout the length of the interconnection, the method providing, in a known frequency band,  $m$  transmission channels each corresponding to a signal to be sent from the input of at least one transmitting circuit to the output of at least one receiving circuit, where  
25  $m$  is an integer greater than or equal to 2 and less than or equal to  $n$ , the method comprising the steps of:

numbering said transmission conductors from 1 to  $n$  and defining, for any integer  $j$  greater than or equal to 1 and less than or equal to  $n$ , at any given abscissa along said interconnection, a “natural current” of index  $j$  as the current flowing on the  
30 transmission conductor number  $j$ , and a “natural voltage referenced to the return conductor” of index  $j$  as the voltage between the transmission conductor number  $j$  and said return conductor;

modeling the interconnection, in a part of said known frequency band, taking into account the lumped impedances seen by the interconnection and caused by the circuits connected  
35 to the interconnection elsewhere than at the ends of the interconnection, as a  $(n + 1)$ -

conductor multiconductor transmission line, said multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length, said multiconductor transmission line using said natural voltages referenced to the return conductor and said natural currents as variables;

5 determining, for said multiconductor transmission line and said part of said known frequency band, the characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor;

coupling the terminals of at least one termination circuit to said return conductor and to each of said transmission conductors, said at least one termination circuit being, in said part of said known frequency band, approximately characterized, for said interconnection, by an impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor (denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$ ), said  
10 impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor being a matrix of size  $n \times n$  approximately equal to a diagonal matrix;

using one said transmitting circuit receiving said  $m$  signals to be sent, the output of said transmitting circuit being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission conductors, the  
15 output of said transmitting circuit delivering transmission variables to said interconnection; and

using one said receiving circuit delivering  $m$  "output signals of the receiving circuit" corresponding each to a transmission channel, the input of said receiving circuit being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission conductors and to said return conductor, each  
20 of said "output signals of the receiving circuit" being mainly determined by only one of said natural voltages referenced to the return conductor appearing at the input of said receiving circuit.

Said part of said known frequency band can be any subset of said known frequency band. It is important to clearly distinguish the interconnection, a physical device composed  
25 of conductors and insulators, from the model which describes some of its properties, which is here the model of the multiconductor transmission line uniform over its length. This model is not capable of describing all interconnections, but it must be suitable for modeling said interconnection, in said part of said known frequency band, with a sufficient accuracy.

According to the invention, said interconnection is structurally combined with the  
30 reference conductor throughout the length of the interconnection. Consequently, if said interconnection is made with a printed circuit board, the reference conductor may be a conductor of the printed circuit board, this conductor being not a part of said interconnection. Consequently, if said interconnection is made with a cable, the reference conductor may be a conductor of the cable (the cable therefore comprises at least  $n + 2$  conductors), but the  
35 reference conductor is nevertheless not a part of said interconnection.

In particular, said interconnection may be realized without using a cable, for instance an interconnection formed in or on a rigid or flexible printed circuit board (using traces and/or

copper areas), or an interconnection formed in or on the substrate of a multi-chip module (MCM) or of an hybrid circuit, or an interconnection formed inside a monolithic integrated circuit.

According to the invention, the return conductor is distinct from the reference  
 5 conductor. It is therefore important to clarify the concept of distinct conductors, in the framework of the theory of multiconductor transmission lines. In the framework of this theory, a conductor may be made of several sufficiently interconnected conductors. This is for instance the case with the stripline structure well known to the person skilled in the art, in which the reference conductor is made of two ground planes connected the one to the other at many  
 10 points. By the same token, it is appropriate to treat as a single reference conductor a plurality of conductors between which a low impedance is maintained in said part of said known frequency band, at a sufficient number of points along the direction of propagation. As an example, in a multilayer printed circuit board, the traces of an internal layer, used as transmission conductors, may be routed between a conducting plane used for the ground  
 15 (ground plane) and a conducting plane connected to a power supply voltage (power plane). The person skilled in the art knows that, if a low impedance is maintained between these conducting planes by a sufficient number of decoupling capacitors connected between these conducting planes and spread over along said internal traces, then the two conducting planes, though at different potentials, behave indeed as a single reference conductor for the  
 20 propagation of signals at sufficiently high frequencies. The wording "reference conductor" may therefore designate several conductors connected the one to the other at a sufficient number of points along the direction of propagation, through sufficiently low impedances in said part of said known frequency band. The wording "return conductor" may also designate several conductors connected the one to the other at a sufficient number of points along the  
 25 direction of propagation, through sufficiently low impedances in said part of said known frequency band.

For any integer  $j$  greater than or equal to 1 and less than or equal to  $n$ , at a given abscissa  $z$  along said interconnection, let us use  $i_j$  to denote the natural current of index  $j$ , that is to say the current flowing on the transmission conductor number  $j$ , and let us use  $v_{Rj}$  to  
 30 denote the natural voltage referenced to the return conductor of index  $j$ , that is to say the voltage between the transmission conductor number  $j$  and said return conductor. We may define the column-vector  $\mathbf{I}_R$  of the natural currents  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  and the column-vector  $\mathbf{V}_R$  of the natural voltages referenced to the return conductor  $v_{R1}, \dots, v_{Rn}$ .

According to the invention, the interconnection is modeled as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor  
 35 multiconductor transmission line, with a sufficient accuracy in said part of said known frequency band, taking into account the lumped impedances seen by the interconnection and caused by the circuits connected to the interconnection elsewhere than at the ends of the

interconnection. It is clear for the specialist that this implies that all conductors other than the conductors of the interconnection may be neglected when one models propagation in the interconnection and that, in particular, the reference conductor may be neglected when one models propagation in the interconnection. It is consequently possible to define, at each  
 5 abscissa  $z$  along the interconnection, at any frequency  $f$  in said part of said known frequency band, a per-unit-length impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and a per-unit-length admittance matrix  $\mathbf{Y}_R$ , and the applicable telegrapher's equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d \mathbf{V}_R}{dz} = -\mathbf{Z}_R \mathbf{I}_R \\ \frac{d \mathbf{I}_R}{dz} = -\mathbf{Y}_R \mathbf{V}_R \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The  $(n + 1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line defined by the equation (1)  
 10 uses said natural voltage referenced to the return conductor and said natural current as variables.  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_R$  are matrices of size  $n \times n$ , and we write

$$\mathbf{Z}_R \approx \begin{pmatrix} Z_{R11} & \cdots & Z_{R1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Z_{Rn1} & \cdots & Z_{Rnn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_R \approx \begin{pmatrix} Y_{R11} & \cdots & Y_{R1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Y_{Rn1} & \cdots & Y_{Rnn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

15 Of course, the interconnection used in a device implementing the method of the invention may possibly also be modeled as a  $(n + 2)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, said multiconductor transmission line using natural voltages referenced to ground and natural currents as variables. For such a model, the specialist understands that it is necessary to consider, at a given abscissa  $z$  along the interconnection:

- 20 a) for any integer  $j$  greater than or equal to 1 and less than or equal to  $n$ , the natural current of index  $j$ , denoted by  $i_j$  ;
- b) the current flowing on the return conductor, denoted by  $i_{n+1}$  ;
- c) for any integer  $j$  greater than or equal to 1 and less than or equal to  $n$ , the voltage between the transmission conductor number  $j$  and said reference conductor, denoted by  $v_{Gj}$  ;
- 25 d) the voltage between said return conductor and said reference conductor, denoted by  $v_{Gn+1}$ .

We may then define the column-vector  $\mathbf{I}_G$  of the currents  $i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}$  and the column-vector  $\mathbf{V}_G$  of the natural voltages referenced to ground  $v_{G1}, \dots, v_{Gn+1}$ . When it is possible to define, at each abscissa  $z$  along the interconnection, at any frequency  $f$  in said part of said known frequency band, a per-unit-length impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_G$  and a per-unit-length  
 30 admittance matrix  $\mathbf{Y}_G$ , the applicable telegrapher's equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{V}_G}{dz} = -\mathbf{Z}_G \mathbf{I}_G \\ \frac{d\mathbf{I}_G}{dz} = -\mathbf{Y}_G \mathbf{V}_G \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

In equation (4) the matrices  $\mathbf{Z}_G$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_G$  are of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ . We have said above that, according to the invention, the interconnection may be modeled with a sufficient accuracy as a  $(n+1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line. Consequently, the specialist understands that, in equation (4), we may say that, to a sufficient accuracy:

- the  $v_{Gj} - v_{Gn+1}$  depend only on the  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ ;
- the relationships between the  $v_{Gj} - v_{Gn+1}$  and the  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  are determined by the matrices  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_R$ .

As shown in the article of F. Broyd  and E. Clavelier entitled ‘‘A new pseudo-differential transmission scheme for on-chip and on-board interconnections’’ published in the proceedings of the ‘‘14     colloque international sur la compatibilit   lectromagn tique - CEM 08’’, which took place in Paris, France in May 2008, it is then possible to prove that  $v_{Gn+1}$  is independent from any  $i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}$  such that  $i_1 + \dots + i_{n+1} = 0$ , and that there exist a per-unit-length impedance  $Z_{RG}$  and a per-unit-length admittance  $Y_{RG}$  such that the matrices  $\mathbf{Z}_G$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_G$  are, in said part of said known frequency band, approximately given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_G \approx \begin{pmatrix} Z_{R11} + Z_{RG} & \cdots & Z_{R1n} + Z_{RG} & Z_{RG} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Z_{Rn1} + Z_{RG} & \cdots & Z_{Rnn} + Z_{RG} & Z_{RG} \\ Z_{RG} & \cdots & Z_{RG} & Z_{RG} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_G \approx \begin{pmatrix} Y_{R11} & \cdots & Y_{R1n} & -\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{R1i} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Y_{Rn1} & \cdots & Y_{Rnn} & -\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{Rni} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{Ri1} & \cdots & -\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{Rin} & Y_{RG} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{Rij} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Let us note that, in the case of the interconnections shown in Figures 5 and 6, the specialist may easily check that the matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_G$  is not in the form of equation (5), and the matrix  $\mathbf{Y}_G$  is not in the form of equation (6). In the same way, the interconnection used in the sixth embodiment of said French patent number 0300064 and international application number PCT/EP2003/015036, initially described by J.G. Nickel, D. Trainor and J.E. Schutt-Ain  in their paper ‘‘Frequency-Domain-Coupled Microstrip-Line Normal-Mode Parameter Extraction From  $S$ -Parameters’’, published in the journal *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, vol. 43, No. 4, November 2001, at the pages 495 to 503, is a microstrip structure comprising 3 conductors above a ground plane, the structure being such that

$$\mathbf{Z}_G \approx j\omega \mathbf{L}_G \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{L}_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0,3139 & 0,0675 & 0,0222 \\ 0,0675 & 0,3193 & 0,0675 \\ 0,0222 & 0,0675 & 0,3139 \end{pmatrix} \mu \text{H/ m} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_G \approx j\omega \mathbf{C}_G \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}_G = \begin{pmatrix} 130,3 & -16,2 & -0,8 \\ -16,2 & 133,7 & -16,2 \\ -0,8 & -16,2 & 130,3 \end{pmatrix} \text{pF/ m} \quad (8)$$

where  $\omega$  is the radian frequency. Since the components of the last row of and of the last  
 5 column of  $\mathbf{L}_G$  are not equal,  $\mathbf{Z}_G$  given by equation (7) cannot satisfy equation (5). Since the  
 sum of the components of the first row (or of the first column) of  $\mathbf{C}_G$  is not equal to zero, and  
 since the sum of the components of the second row (or of the second column) of  $\mathbf{C}_G$  is not  
 equal to zero,  $\mathbf{Y}_G$  given by equation (8) cannot satisfy equation (6). Consequently, the  
 equations (5) and (6) can only be applied to particular interconnections. Consequently, the  
 10 characteristic “in said part of said known frequency band, said interconnection may be  
 modeled with a sufficient accuracy as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line”  
 is a remarkable property of the device implementing the method of the invention.

There are structures in which the transmission conductors are in a way closer to the  
 return conductor than to the reference conductor, and which can be proportioned such that the  
 15 interconnection may be modeled, with a sufficient accuracy, as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor  
 multiconductor transmission line. Examples of such structures will be described in the first  
 embodiment and in the second embodiment.

According to the invention, said multiconductor transmission line has uniform  
 electrical characteristics over its length, said multiconductor transmission line using said  
 20 natural voltages referenced to the return conductor and said natural currents as variables.  
 Consequently, the per-unit-length impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and the per-unit-length admittance  
 matrix  $\mathbf{Y}_R$  are independent from the abscissa  $z$ , and the equation (1) implies that the classical  
 results concerning uniform multiconductor transmission lines may be transposed. In particular,  
 the specialist understands that the transposition of said articles of F. Broyd  and E. Clavelier  
 25 published in 2005 and 2006 provides, in said part of said known frequency band, the following  
 results:

- it is possible to compute a characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the return  
 conductor, denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}_{RC}$ , as from  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_R$  ;
- if, as said above, we use  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  to denote said impedance matrix of a termination circuit with  
 30 respect to the return conductor,  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  is, according to the invention, a matrix of size  $n \times n$ , and  
 the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients of the termination circuit with respect to the  
 return conductor, denoted by  $\mathbf{P}_R$ , is given by

$$\mathbf{P}_R = (\mathbf{Z}_{RL} - \mathbf{Z}_{RC})(\mathbf{Z}_{RL} + \mathbf{Z}_{RC})^{-1} \quad (9)$$

- a termination circuit such that  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  is a diagonal matrix may for instance be made of  $n$  linear two-terminal circuit elements, each linear two-terminal circuit element having a terminal connected to a transmission conductor and a terminal connected to the return conductor, the  
5  $n$  linear two-terminal circuit elements being easily proportioned such that all components of the matrix  $\mathbf{P}_R$  have an absolute value less than a sufficiently small arbitrary value, for instance 6/10;

- it is even possible to determine a termination circuit presenting a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  minimizing the detrimental effects of reflections, by minimizing a suitable norm of  $\mathbf{P}_R$ .

10 Consequently, according to the invention, it is possible that, in said part of said known frequency band, each component of the matrix  $\mathbf{P}_R$  of the voltage reflection coefficients, with respect to the return conductor, of at least one said termination circuit, has an absolute value less than or equal to 6/10.

Consequently, according to the invention, it is possible that, in said part of said known  
15 frequency band, the impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$ , with respect to the return conductor, of at least one said termination circuit, is approximately equal to a diagonal matrix minimizing a matrix norm of the matrix  $\mathbf{P}_R$  of the voltage reflection coefficients, with respect to the return conductor, of said at least one said termination circuit.

The computation methods suitable for the synthesis of a termination circuit minimizing  
20 such a norm are well known to specialists. However, we must note that equation (9) is an equality between matrices of size  $n \times n$ , concerning a termination circuit which may be made of  $n$  linear two-terminal circuit elements having a terminal connected to the return conductor (these linear two-terminal circuit elements are not connected to the reference conductor), whereas the theory presented in said articles of F. Broydé and E. Clavelier published in 2005  
25 and 2006 relates to an equality between matrices of size  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ , concerning a termination circuit made of  $n + 1$  linear two-terminal circuit elements having a terminal connected to the reference conductor.

The specialists know, for instance from a computation based on the geometry of the conductors and insulators, on the conductivity of the conductors and on the permittivity and  
30 the losses of the insulators, how to determine the matrices  $\mathbf{Z}_G$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_G$  of a multiconductor transmission line, as a function of frequency. The specialists also know how to measure these matrices. It is therefore clear that it is possible to proportion the conductors of a suitable structure such that:

- the equations (5) and (6) are satisfied to a sufficient accuracy in said part of said known  
35 frequency band;
- the matrices  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_R$  obtained from the equations (5) and (6) are independent of  $z$ ;

- one obtains a matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RC}$  approximating a wanted matrix.

Consequently, the method of the invention may be such that, prior to modeling the interconnection, one proportions said interconnection in such a way that it may, with a sufficient accuracy in said part of said known frequency band, taking into account the lumped  
5 impedances seen by the interconnection and caused by the circuits connected to the interconnection elsewhere than at the ends of the interconnection, be modeled as a multiconductor transmission line having  $n + 1$  conductors, said multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length, said multiconductor transmission line using said natural voltages referenced to the return conductor and said natural currents as  
10 variables.

We now describe a device for implementing the method of the invention. A device for transmission providing, in a known frequency band,  $m$  transmission channels each corresponding to a signal to be sent from the input of at least one transmitting circuit to the output of at least one receiving circuit, where  $m$  is an integer greater than or equal to 2,  
15 comprises:

an interconnection having  $n$  transmission conductors and a return conductor distinct from the reference conductor,  $n$  being an integer greater than or equal to  $m$ , the interconnection being structurally combined with the reference conductor throughout the length of the interconnection, the interconnection being such that the interconnection can be  
20 modeled, in a part of said known frequency band, taking into account the lumped impedances seen by the interconnection and caused by the circuits connected to the interconnection elsewhere than at the ends of the interconnection, as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, said multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length, said multiconductor transmission line using the natural voltages referenced to the return conductor and the  
25 natural currents as variables;

at least one said transmitting circuit receiving said  $m$  signals to be sent, the output of said at least one said transmitting circuit being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission conductors;

30 at least one said receiving circuit delivering, when said at least one said receiving circuit is in the activated state,  $m$  "output signals of the receiving circuit" corresponding each to a transmission channel, the input of said at least one said receiving circuit being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission conductors and to said return conductor, each of said "output signals of the receiving circuit" being mainly determined by only one  
35 of said natural voltages referenced to the return conductor; and

at least one termination circuit coupled to said return conductor and to each of said transmission conductors, said at least one termination circuit being, in said part of said



known frequency band, when said at least one termination circuit is in the activated state, approximately characterized, for said interconnection, by an impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  with respect to the return conductor, said impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor being a matrix of size  $n \times n$  approximately equal to a diagonal matrix, said at least one termination circuit being, in said part of said known frequency band, when said at least one termination circuit is in the activated state, such that each component of the matrix  $\mathbf{P}_R$  of the voltage reflection coefficients, with respect to the return conductor, of said at least one termination circuit, has an absolute value less than or equal to 6/10.

According to the invention, it is possible that there is a deactivated state for one or more of said receiving circuits, in which the behavior of this receiving circuit is different from the one defined above. However, the existence of a deactivated state for one or more of said receiving circuits is not at all a characteristic of the invention. According to the invention, it is possible that there is a deactivated state for one or more of said termination circuits, in which the behavior of this termination circuit is different from the one defined above. However, the existence of a deactivated state for one or more of said termination circuits is not at all a characteristic of the invention.

In a device for implementing the method of the invention, said interconnection may in particular be realized without using a cable, as explained above.

In a device for implementing the method of the invention, it is possible that the number  $m$  of transmission channels between one of said transmitting circuits and one of said receiving circuits is equal to the number  $n$  of transmission conductors. In the opposite case,  $n > m$ , and the transmission conductors which are not allocated to a transmission channel may have another function, for instance the transmission of reference or power feeding voltages, or of address or control signals. In particular,  $n$  may be greater than or equal to three.

In a device for implementing the method of the invention, it is possible that each of said termination circuits is arranged at an end of said interconnection. This arrangement is preferred because specialists understand that the best technique for eliminating reflections consists in arranging, at at least one end of the interconnection, a termination circuit such that the impedance matrix of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor approximates a diagonal matrix minimizing the detrimental effects of reflections. However, a termination circuit is sometimes arranged elsewhere than at an end of the interconnection, as explained in sections 6.1.3 and 6.3 of chapter 6 of the above-mentioned book of H. W. Johnson and M. Graham and as shown in Figure 4 c of said patent of the United States of America number 6,195,395.

A device of the invention may be such that said termination circuits, said transmitting circuits and said receiving circuits are without any part in common to any two of them.

Conversely, a device of the invention may be such that said termination circuits, said transmitting circuits and said receiving circuits are not without any part in common to any two of them.

5 The method and the device of the invention, thanks to the characteristics specified for the interconnection and for the terminations, use the return conductor as a return path for the return current produced by the currents flowing in the  $n$  transmission conductors, hence the name “return conductor”. This is not the case for the common conductor used in the Figures 3 and 4. However, the return conductor as defined in the invention and the common conductor of prior art share the property of being used by the receiving circuits for delivering the “output  
10 signals of the receiving circuit”. Consequently, it is appropriate to consider that the method and the device of the invention are pseudo-differential.

According to the invention, it is specified that it must be possible to model the interconnection as a multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length in said part of said known frequency band, taking into account the lumped  
15 impedances seen by the interconnection and caused by the circuits connected to it elsewhere than at its ends. In order to take these lumped impedances into account by merely stating that they are not present or that they may be ignored, these circuits must be such that they do not disturb the propagation along the multiconductor transmission line. The person skilled in the art sees that this result can for instance be obtained by:

- 20
- using transmitting circuits and/or receiving circuits connected in series with the conductors of the interconnection, and showing a low series impedance,
  - using transmitting circuits and/or receiving circuits connected in parallel with the conductors of the interconnection, and showing a high parallel impedance.

Connecting the receiving circuits and the transmitting circuits in parallel with the  
25 interconnection is simpler than connecting them in series. The parallel connection is therefore *a priori* preferred.

According to the invention, it is possible that the section of the interconnection in a plane orthogonal to the direction of propagation does not change, except for a scale factor, over the greatest part of the length of the interconnection, in the vicinity of the transmission  
30 conductors.

A device for implementing the method of the invention may preferentially be such that said known frequency band contains frequencies ranging between 100 kHz and 100 GHz. It is often possible, for instance for frequencies greater than 1 MHz, to obtain a practically real and frequency-independent matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RC}$ . In this case, it is clear for the person skilled in the art  
35 that a termination circuit presenting a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  minimizing the detrimental effects of reflections at such frequencies could for instance be made of a network of  $n$  resistors connected to the return conductor, and that the computations needed to proportion this network

may be based on equation (9).

One or more of said termination circuits of a device of the invention may for instance be a termination circuit defined in said French patent application number 07/04421 and said international application number PCT/IB2008/051826, having  $n$  signal terminals and a  
5 common terminal, each of said signal terminals being connected to one and only one of said transmission conductors, each of said transmission conductors being connected to one and only one of said signal terminals, said common terminal being connected to said return conductor. Consequently, a device of the invention may be such that at least one said termination circuit is, when said at least one said termination circuit is in the activated state, approximately  
10 equivalent, for said transmission conductors and said return conductor, to a network consisting of  $n$  branches, each of said branches having a first terminal and a second terminal, each of said branches consisting of a passive linear two-terminal circuit element connected in series with a voltage source delivering a constant voltage, the first terminal of each of said branches being connected to one and only one of said transmission conductors, the second terminal of each  
15 of said branches being connected to said return conductor, each of said transmission conductors being connected to said first terminal of one and only one of said branches. Such a termination circuit in the activated state presents, with respect to said return conductor, at any frequency, a diagonal impedance matrix of size  $n \times n$ .

A device of the invention may be such that at least one said termination circuit is made  
20 of a network of  $n$  resistors, each of said resistors being connected between one of said transmission conductors and said return conductor, each of said resistors being connected to a different transmission conductor.

A termination circuit made of a network of resistors is however not at all a characteristic of the invention. By way of a first example, designers may, in order to reduce  
25 the power consumed by one of said termination circuits, choose to allow this termination circuit to be effective only in a relevant interval of frequencies, for instance by including suitable reactive circuit elements in this termination circuit. By way of a second example, one of said termination circuits could include active components, for instance insulated gate field-effect transistors (MOSFETs) operating in the ohmic regime. The impedance of the channel  
30 of such components may be adjustable by electrical means. Consequently, a device of the invention may be such that the impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  with respect to the return conductor, of at least one said termination circuit in the activated state, can be adjusted by electrical means.

In the case where one of said termination circuits has an activated state and a deactivated state, the impedance of the channel of one or more MOSFETs may for instance be  
35 controlled by one or more control signals taking on different values in the activated state and in the deactivated state. Consequently, at least one of said termination circuits may be such that said termination circuit has an activated state and a deactivated state, the impedance matrix,

with respect to said return conductor, of said termination circuit in the activated state being different from the impedance matrix, with respect to said return conductor, of said termination circuit in the deactivated state.

In the case where one of said termination circuits has an activated state and a deactivated state, components such as transistors may for instance be used as switches having a closed state and an open state. In this case, said transistors may for instance be in the closed state when this termination circuit is in the activated state, and be in the open state when this termination circuit is in the deactivated state. Consequently, it is possible that at least one said termination circuit has an activated state and a deactivated state, each current flowing from said at least one said termination circuit to one of said transmission conductors being substantially zero when said at least one said termination circuit is in the deactivated state. Designers may, in order to reduce the power consumed by such a termination circuit, choose to put this termination circuit in the deactivated state when a transmitting circuit close to the termination circuit is in the activated state. Such a termination circuit may for instance use one of the principles shown in Figures 10 and 11 of said French patent application number 07/04421 and said international application number PCT/IB2008/051826.

According to the invention, at least one termination circuit is such that  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$ . This implies that this termination circuit in the activated state behaves, for the interconnection, as if it was not connected to said reference conductor. However, a device of the invention may further comprise one or more damping circuits coupled to said return conductor, each of said damping circuits being, for said return conductor, approximately equivalent to a network consisting of a passive two-terminal circuit element and a voltage source delivering a constant voltage, the passive two-terminal circuit element having a first terminal connected to said return conductor, the passive two-terminal circuit element having a second terminal connected to the first terminal of the voltage source, the second terminal of the voltage source being connected to said reference conductor (ground). Since the invention does not use signals applied between the common conductor and ground, the function of said damping circuits is not to reduce the reflections of signals. The specialist understands that said damping circuits are intended to provide a damping of the resonances of the circuit consisting of the return conductor and the reference conductor, which may be excited by the noise produced by unwanted electromagnetic couplings. Said damping circuits may therefore further reduce the effects of unwanted electromagnetic couplings.

Any one of said damping circuits may be such that said passive two-terminal circuit element belonging to said network approximately equivalent to said damping circuit may be considered as linear. Consequently, according to the invention, at least one of said damping circuits may be, for said return conductor, approximately equivalent to a network consisting of a passive linear two-terminal circuit element having a first terminal coupled to said return

conductor and a second terminal held at a (positive, negative or zero) fixed voltage with respect to said reference conductor. Such a damping circuit is characterized, at any non-zero frequency, by a scalar impedance.

It is important to observe that the combination of such a damping circuit and of one of said termination circuits presents, with respect to said return conductor, at any given frequency, a diagonal impedance matrix of size  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ . This characteristic does not apply to prior art terminations of Figures 3 and 4.

A device of the invention may be such that none of said damping circuits has any part in common with one of said receiving circuit and/or with one of said termination circuit and/or with one of said transmitting circuit. Conversely, a device of the invention may be such that one or more of said damping circuits has one or more parts in common with one of said receiving circuit and/or with one of said termination circuit and/or with one of said transmitting circuit.

One or more of said transmitting circuits of a device of the invention may for instance be a device described in the French patent application number 07/04889 of 6 July 2007, entitled "Dispositif d'interface pseudo-différentiel avec circuit d'équilibrage" and in the international application number PCT/IB2008/051942 of 16 May 2008, entitled "Pseudo-differential interfacing device having a balancing circuit", having  $n$  signal terminals and a common terminal, each of said signal terminals being connected to one and only one of said transmission conductors, each of said transmission conductors being connected to one and only one of said signal terminals, said common terminal being connected to said return conductor.

One said transmitting circuit and one said receiving circuit of a device of the invention may for instance make a device described in the French patent application number 07/04949 of 9 July 2007, entitled "Dispositif d'interface pseudo-différentiel avec circuit de commutation" and in the international application number PCT/IB2008/051982 of 20 May 2008, entitled "Pseudo-differential interfacing device having a switching circuit", having  $n$  signal terminals and a common terminal, each of said signal terminals being connected to one and only one of said transmission conductors, each of said transmission conductors being connected to one and only one of said signal terminals, said common terminal being connected to said return conductor.

According to the invention, one or more of said transmitting circuits and/or one or more of said receiving circuits may have a filtering function, for instance for the purpose of obtaining a pre-emphasis, a de-emphasis or an equalization improving transmission. It then becomes necessary to synthesize the corresponding filters, either as analog filters or as digital filters, using one of the many methods known to specialists.

When losses are not negligible in the interconnection, phase and amplitude distortions may occur, which are referred to as distortions caused by propagation. The reduction of these

distortions may be obtained, in a device of the invention, using an equalization reducing the effects of the distortions caused by propagation, said equalization being implemented in one or more of said transmitting circuits and/or in one or more of said receiving circuits. This type of processing, which is also sometimes referred to as compensation, is well known to specialists, and may be implemented using analog signal processing or digital signal processing. Specialists know that it is commonplace to use adaptive algorithms for implementing this type of processing in receivers for data transmission. A device of the invention may use an adaptive equalization. This type of processing is well known to specialists, and is often implemented using digital signal processing.

## 10 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other advantages and characteristics of the invention will appear more clearly from the following description of particular embodiments of the invention, given by way of non-limiting examples, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

- 15 - Figure 1 shows a device for transmission comprising an interconnection having four transmission conductors, and has already been discussed in the section dedicated to the presentation of prior art;
- Figure 2 shows a first pseudo-differential device for transmission comprising an interconnection having four transmission conductors, and has already been discussed in the section dedicated to the presentation of prior art;
- 20 - Figure 3 shows a second pseudo-differential device for transmission comprising an interconnection having four transmission conductors, and has already been discussed in the section dedicated to the presentation of prior art;
- Figure 4 shows a third pseudo-differential device for transmission comprising an interconnection having four transmission conductors, and has already been discussed in the section dedicated to the presentation of prior art;
- 25 - Figure 5 shows a cross section of an interconnection and of the reference conductor, which may be used in the pseudo-differential devices for transmission of the Figures 3 and 4;
- Figure 6 shows a cross section of an interconnection and of the reference conductor, which may be used in the pseudo-differential devices for transmission of the Figures 3 and 4;
- 30 - Figure 7 shows a first embodiment and a second embodiment;
- Figure 8 shows a cross section of an interconnection and of the reference conductor, for the first embodiment;
- 35 - Figure 9 shows a cross section of an interconnection and of the reference

- conductor, for the second embodiment;
- Figure 10 shows a third embodiment;
  - Figure 11 shows a transmitting circuit and a damping circuit used in the third embodiment;
  - 5        - Figure 12 shows a termination circuit, a receiving circuit and a damping circuit used in the third embodiment;
  - Figure 13 shows a fourth embodiment.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF SOME EMBODIMENTS

### 10        First embodiment.

As a first embodiment of a device for implementing the method of the invention, given by way of non-limiting example, we have represented in Fig. 7 a device of the invention comprising an interconnection (1) having  $n = 4$  transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) and a return conductor (10) distinct from the reference conductor (7). The two termination circuits  
15        (4) are connected to the conductors (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) of the interconnection (1), the impedance matrix of each termination circuit with respect to the return conductor being approximately equal, in a part of a known frequency band used for transmission, to a diagonal matrix of size  $n \times n$  minimizing a matrix norm of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor. The transmitting  
20        circuit (5) receives at its input the signals of the 4 channels of the source (2), and its  $n + 1 = 5$  output terminals are connected to the conductors (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) of the interconnection (1). The receiving circuit (6) has its  $n + 1 = 5$  input terminals connected to the conductors (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) of the interconnection (1). The output of the receiving circuit (6) delivers 4 “output signals of the receiving circuit” to the destination (3). Thus, the analog or digital  
25        signals of the four channels of the source (2) are sent to the four channels of the destination (3).

The transmitting circuit (5) is a “Pseudo-differential interfacing device having a balancing circuit” according to said French patent application number 07/04889 and international application number PCT/IB2008/051942, which produces natural currents at its output (the transmission variables are therefore natural currents), each of these natural currents  
30        being mainly determined by the signal of only one channel of the source (2). Each of said “output signals of the receiving circuit” delivered by the receiving circuit (6) is mainly determined by only one of the natural voltages referenced to the return conductor.

The specialist sees that, for the prior art pseudo-differential system for transmission shown in Fig. 3:

- 35        - the termination (4) does not have an impedance matrix with respect to the common

conductor;

- the termination (4) has an impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{G1}$  with respect to the reference conductor.

The impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{G1}$  is a diagonal matrix of size  $4 \times 4$  equal to

$$\mathbf{Z}_{G1} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

5 where we have used the notations of Fig. 3 and a suitable numbering of the transmission conductors. The specialist sees that, for the prior art pseudo-differential system for transmission shown in Fig. 4:

- the termination (4) has an impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{C2}$  with respect to the common conductor;

- the termination (4) has an impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{G2}$  with respect to the reference conductor.

10 The impedance matrices  $\mathbf{Z}_{C2}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{G2}$  are square matrices of size  $5 \times 5$  equal to

$$\mathbf{Z}_{C2} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 + R_D & R_D & R_D & R_D & R_D \\ R_D & R_2 + R_D & R_D & R_D & R_D \\ R_D & R_D & R_3 + R_D & R_D & R_D \\ R_D & R_D & R_D & R_4 + R_D & R_D \\ R_D & R_D & R_D & R_D & R_D \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Z}_{G2} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_D \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

where we have used the notations of Fig. 4 and a suitable numbering of the conductors. The  
15 impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{G2}$  with respect to the reference conductor is diagonal whereas the impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{C2}$  with respect to the common conductor is not a diagonal matrix, since a pseudo-differential system for transmission requires  $R_D \neq 0$ .

The termination circuits (4) of Fig. 7 are such that the impedance matrix of each termination circuit with respect to the return conductor is approximately equal, in said part of  
20 said known frequency band, to a diagonal matrix of size  $n \times n$ . This characteristic does not apply to prior art terminations for which, at any given frequency:

- it is not possible to define an impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor (referred to as common conductor) for the termination (4) of Fig. 3, consisting of  $n = 4$  resistors (401) (402) (403) (404);

25 - it is possible to define an impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{C2}$  with respect to the return conductor (referred



to as common conductor) for the termination (4) of Fig. 4, consisting of  $n + 1 = 5$  resistors (401) (402) (403) (404) (410), but the equation (11) shows that this square matrix of size  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  is not diagonal, because, for pseudo-differential transmission,  $R_D \neq 0$ .

In Fig. 7, in said part of said known frequency band, the specialist understands that each termination circuit (4) behaves as if it was not connected to ground. Consequently, there is no constraint on the manner of routing the interconnection (1) with respect to ground (7). Consequently, in Fig. 7, the reference conductor (7) is represented as an irregular geometrical shape, which indicates that the distance between the conductors of the interconnection (1) and the reference conductor (7) may vary as a function of the abscissa  $z$  along the interconnection.

The interconnection (1) is built in a printed circuit board, the transmission conductors being traces of width equal to about 203  $\mu\text{m}$ . The Fig. 8 shows a section of the interconnection and of the reference conductor (7) in a plane orthogonal to the direction of propagation, at a given abscissa  $z$ . In this structure, the return conductor (10) is a copper area and the transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) are traces which are clearly closer to the return conductor (10) than to the reference conductor (7). The interconnection is proportioned in such a way that it can be modeled, with a sufficient accuracy, as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, such that

$$\mathbf{Z}_R \approx j\omega \mathbf{L}_R \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{L}_R \approx \begin{pmatrix} 334 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 334 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 334 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 334 \end{pmatrix} \text{ nH / m} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_R \approx j\omega \mathbf{C}_R \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}_R \approx \begin{pmatrix} 107 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 107 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 107 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 107 \end{pmatrix} \text{ pF / m} \quad (14)$$

The equations (13) and (14) are applicable to:

- a frequency band above 3 MHz, corresponding to said part of said known frequency band, in which the designer has established that losses may be neglected in the computation of the characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor  $\mathbf{Z}_{RC}$  ;
- transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) sufficiently spaced to lead the designer to assume that the mutual inductances and the mutual capacitances between the transmission conductors may be neglected.

The interconnection (1) is therefore such that said per-unit-length impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and said per-unit-length admittance matrix  $\mathbf{Y}_R$  are independent of the abscissa  $z$ , and such that the characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor  $\mathbf{Z}_{RC}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_{RC} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 55,9 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\ 0,0 & 55,9 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\ 0,0 & 0,0 & 55,9 & 0,0 \\ 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 55,9 \end{pmatrix} \Omega \quad (15)$$

Consequently, the termination circuits (4) of the Fig. 7 may clearly be made of  $n = 4$  resistors of about  $56 \Omega$ , each of these resistors being connected between one of the transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) and the return conductor (10). In this manner, the impedance matrix of each termination circuit with respect to the return conductor is approximately equal, in said part of said known frequency band, to a diagonal matrix of size  $n \times n$  minimizing a matrix norm of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor, since the equation (9) gives  $\mathbf{P}_R \approx \mathbf{0}$ .

At frequencies for which the equations (5) and (6) may be used, it is possible, at any abscissa  $z$ , to define the per-unit-length impedance  $Z_{RG}$  and the per-unit-length admittance  $Y_{RG}$  used in the equations (5) and (6). The fact that, as explained above, there is no constraint on the manner of routing the interconnection (1) with respect to ground (7), implies that  $Z_{RG}$  and  $Y_{RG}$  *a priori* depend on the abscissa  $z$ . This implies that it is *a priori* not possible to model the propagation in the interconnection-ground structure using a  $(n + 2)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, said multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length.

For the specialist, Fig. 8 shows that the position of the transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) with respect to the return conductor (10) and to the reference conductor (7) is such that the return conductor (10) may in a way act as an electromagnetic screen. Consequently, the currents flowing in the reference conductor (7) will induce, in each of the transmission conductors, a practically zero natural voltages referenced to the return conductor. Consequently, it will be possible to practically eliminate the external crosstalk, using a principle different from the one presented above in the section on prior art. The specialist sees that, according to the invention, there is no contradiction between an effective protection against external crosstalk, which implies that the transmission conductors are in a way closer to the return conductor (10) than to the reference conductor (7), and reduced reflections.

An interconnection having the structure shown in Fig. 8 could also be built inside an integrated circuit, and be used in a device of the invention designed using an approach similar to the one presented in this first embodiment.

### Second embodiment.

The second embodiment of a device for implementing the method of the invention, given by way of non-limiting example, also corresponds to the device of the invention shown

in Fig. 7, and the explanations relating to Fig. 7 given in the presentation of the first embodiment are applicable to this second embodiment, except that, in this second embodiment, the transmitting circuit (5) is a “Pseudo-differential interfacing device having a balancing circuit” according to said French patent application number 07/04889 and international application number PCT/IB2008/051942, which produces natural voltages referenced to the return conductor at its output (the transmission variables are therefore natural voltages referenced to the return conductor), each of these natural voltages referenced to the return conductor being mainly determined by the signal of only one channel of the source (2). The Fig. 8 is not applicable to this second embodiment.

The interconnection (1) is built in a printed circuit board, using the high-density interconnection technology, the transmission conductors being traces of width equal to about 30  $\mu\text{m}$  with a trace-to-trace spacing of about 40  $\mu\text{m}$ . The Fig. 9 shows a section of the interconnection and of the reference conductor (7) in a plane orthogonal to the direction of propagation, at a given abscissa  $z$ . In this structure, the return conductor (10) is made of two interconnected copper areas (101) (102), and the transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) are traces which are clearly closer to the return conductor (10) than to the reference conductor (7). The interconnection is proportioned in such a way that it can be modeled, with a sufficient accuracy, as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, such that

$$\mathbf{Z}_R \approx j\omega \mathbf{L}_R \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{L}_R \approx \begin{pmatrix} 305,714 & 24,944 & 2,129 & 0,182 \\ 24,944 & 304,731 & 24,861 & 2,129 \\ 2,129 & 24,861 & 304,731 & 24,944 \\ 0,182 & 2,129 & 24,944 & 305,714 \end{pmatrix} \text{ nH / m} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_R \approx j\omega \mathbf{C}_R \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}_R \approx \begin{pmatrix} 102,591 & -8,395 & -0,032 & 0,000 \\ -8,395 & 103,607 & -8,391 & -0,032 \\ -0,032 & -8,391 & 103,607 & -8,395 \\ 0,000 & -0,032 & -8,395 & 102,591 \end{pmatrix} \text{ pF / m} \quad (17)$$

The equations (16) and (17) are applicable to a frequency band above 50 MHz, corresponding to a part of the known frequency band used for transmission in which the designer has established that losses may be neglected in the computation of the characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor  $\mathbf{Z}_{RC}$ .

The interconnection (1) is therefore such that said per-unit-length impedance matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and said per-unit-length admittance matrix  $\mathbf{Y}_R$  are independent of the abscissa  $z$ , and such that the characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor  $\mathbf{Z}_{RC}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_{RC} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 54,77 & 4,47 & 0,38 & 0,03 \\ 4,47 & 54,60 & 4,45 & 0,38 \\ 0,38 & 4,45 & 54,60 & 4,47 \\ 0,03 & 0,38 & 4,47 & 54,77 \end{pmatrix} \Omega \quad (18)$$

In order to compute the diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{RL}$  defined above, the designer chooses to minimize the matrix norm  $\|\mathbf{P}_R\|_\infty$  of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor, this matrix norm being equal to the largest sum of the absolute values of the components of a row. In this manner, the designer obtains

$$\mathbf{Z}_{RL} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 58,8 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\ 0,0 & 54,2 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\ 0,0 & 0,0 & 54,2 & 0,0 \\ 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 58,8 \end{pmatrix} \Omega \quad (19)$$

for which  $\|\mathbf{P}_R\|_\infty \approx 0,082$ . Consequently, the termination circuits (4) may be made of:

- two resistors of about 58,8  $\Omega$ , each of these resistors being connected between one of the transmission conductors (11) (14) number 1 or 4 and the return conductor; and
- two resistors of about 54,2  $\Omega$ , each of these resistors being connected between one of the transmission conductors (12) (13) number 2 or 3 and the return conductor.

In this manner, the impedance matrix of each termination circuit with respect to the return conductor is approximately equal, in said part of said known frequency band, to a diagonal matrix of size  $n \times n$  minimizing a matrix norm of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor. For the specialist, Fig. 9 shows that the position of the transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) (14) with respect to the interconnected copper areas (101) (102) and to the reference conductor (7) is such that the return conductor (10) may act as an electromagnetic screen. As in the case of the first embodiment, this effectively reduces the external crosstalk.

In Fig. 7, the reference conductor (7) is represented as an irregular geometrical shape, which indicates that the distance between the conductors of the interconnection (1) and the reference conductor (7) may vary as a function of the abscissa  $z$  along the interconnection. However, it is also possible that the cross section of the interconnection (1) and of the reference conductor (7) shown in Fig. 9 is independent of the abscissa  $z$ . In this case, the parameters  $Z_{RG}$  and  $Y_{RG}$  of the equations (5) and (6) are independent of the abscissa  $z$ , and a possible design is for instance such that, for frequencies greater than 50 MHz,  $Z_{RG} \approx j\omega L_{RG}$  with  $L_{RG} \approx 66,4$  nH/m and  $Y_{RG} \approx j\omega C_{RG}$  with  $C_{RG} \approx 469$  pF/m. In this case, it is possible to model the propagation through the interconnection using a multiconductor transmission line having  $n + 2 = 6$  conductors, using natural voltages referenced to ground and natural currents as variables, this multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length. For this  $(n + 2)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, the computation of the characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the reference conductor, denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}_{GC}$ , gives

$$\mathbf{Z}_{GC} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 66,67 & 16,37 & 12,28 & 11,93 & 11,90 \\ 16,37 & 66,50 & 16,35 & 12,28 & 11,90 \\ 12,28 & 16,35 & 66,50 & 16,37 & 11,90 \\ 11,93 & 12,28 & 16,37 & 66,67 & 11,90 \\ 11,90 & 11,90 & 11,90 & 11,90 & 11,90 \end{pmatrix} \Omega \quad (20)$$

Clearly, the resistances given by equation (19) for the resistors of a termination circuit (4) do not show up in equation (20). The method of the invention therefore uses a termination scheme which is very different from prior art termination schemes.

5 In this second embodiment, the receiving circuit (6) implements one of the known analog equalization scheme, for compensating the distortions caused by propagation because of losses at frequencies greater than 200 MHz.

The specialist notes that, in the first and second embodiments, the return conductor (10) behaving in a way as an electromagnetic screen, the pseudo-differential systems for  
10 transmission of the invention practically does not generate electromagnetic disturbances which may degrade the performances of nearby circuits, and is practically not vulnerable to electromagnetic disturbances produced by nearby circuits.

An interconnection having the structure shown in Fig. 9 could also be built inside an integrated circuit, and be used in a device of the invention designed using an approach similar  
15 to the one presented in this second embodiment.

### Third embodiment (best mode).

As a third embodiment of a device for implementing the method of the invention, given by way of non-limiting example and best mode of carrying out the invention, we have represented in Fig. 10 a device of the invention comprising an interconnection (1) having  $n = 3$   
20 transmission conductors (11) (12) (13) and a return conductor (10) distinct from the reference conductor (7). A termination circuit (4) is connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1), the impedance matrix of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor being, in a part of the frequency band used for transmission, approximately equal to a diagonal matrix of size  $n \times n$  such that all components of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients of the  
25 termination circuit with respect to the return conductor have an absolute value less than or equal to 1/10. The transmitting circuit (5) receives at its input the signals of the 3 channels of the source (2), and its 4 output terminals are connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1). The receiving circuit (6) has its 4 input terminals connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1) and delivers 3 “output signals of the receiving circuit” to the destination  
30 (3).

In this third embodiment, two damping circuits (8) are connected between the return

conductor (10) and a node held at a constant voltage with respect to a reference terminal. For the first damping circuit, this node is a power supply terminal having a constant voltage with respect to a reference node. The second damping circuit is directly connected to a reference node.

5 The transmitting circuit (5) and the first of said damping circuits (8) of Fig. 10 are shown in Fig. 11, in which:

- each of the  $n$  "input signals of the transmitting circuit" is applied to an input (57) which is a differential input comprising 2 terminals (571) (572);
- each of the  $n$  inputs (57) corresponds to the input of a differential pair made of two transistors (511) (512) whose sources are biased by a current source (513) supplying a practically constant current  $I$ ;
- each of the  $n$  output terminals (581) coupled to a transmission conductor is connected to the drain of the second transistor (512) of each of said differential pairs and to a current source (531) supplying a current approximating  $I/2$  and practically constant;
- 15 - the output terminal (580) coupled to the return conductor is connected to the drains of the  $n$  first transistors (511) of said differential pairs and to the first of said damping circuits, made of a resistor (811) connected to a power supply terminal.

The transmitting circuit shown in Fig. 11 delivers, at each output terminal (581) coupled to a transmission conductor, a natural current approximately determined by one and only one of the "input signals of the transmitting circuit". Since the resistor (811) is not part of the transmitting circuit, the total current flowing out of the output terminals of the transmitting circuit is practically  $-nI/2$ . This total current is therefore practically constant.

The termination circuit (4), the receiving circuit (6) and the second of said damping circuits (8) of Fig. 10 are shown in Fig. 12, in which:

- 25 - each of the  $n = 3$  "output signals of the receiving circuit" is delivered to an output (68) which is a differential output comprising 2 terminals (681) (682);
- each of the  $n$  outputs (68) corresponds to the output of a differential pair made of two transistors (611) (612) whose sources are biased by a current source (613) and whose drains are biased by two resistors (631) (632);
- 30 - each of the  $n$  input terminals (671) coupled to a transmission conductor is connected to the gate of the first transistor (611) of one of said differential pairs;
- the input terminal (670) coupled to the return conductor is connected to the gate of the  $n$  second transistors (612) of said differential pairs;
- the second damping circuit consists of a branch comprising a capacitor (821) connected in series with a resistor (822), this branch being connected between the ground and the input terminal (670) coupled to the return conductor;
- 35 - the termination circuit is made of  $n$  resistors (411) such that all components of the matrix of

the voltage reflection coefficients of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor have an absolute value less than or equal to  $1/10$  in said part of the frequency band used for transmission, each of these resistors being connected between one of said input terminals (671) coupled to a transmission conductor and said input terminal (670) coupled to the return conductor;

- the receiving circuit consists of all components shown in Fig. 12, except the components already identified as belonging to the termination circuit or to the second damping circuit.

Let us note that the ground symbol used in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 has exactly the same meaning as the other ground symbol used in the other figures of this application.

The specialist sees that the receiving circuit shown in Fig. 12 produces at its outputs  $n$  "output signals of the receiving circuit" corresponding each to one of the transmission channels, each of the "output signals of the receiving circuit" being mainly determined by only one of the natural voltages referenced to the return conductor.

In this third embodiment, there is only one termination because the signals are intended to propagate in a single direction and because a single termination sufficiently reduces reflections.

The damping circuit (811) of Fig. 11 and the damping circuit (821) (822) of Fig. 12 damp the resonances of the circuit consisting of the return conductor and of the reference conductor. The specialists understand that this may improve the protection against external crosstalk, and that, in the case where the parameters  $Z_{RG}$  and  $Y_{RG}$  of the equations (5) and (6) are roughly independent from the abscissa  $z$ , a good damping is obtained when the square of the impedance of the damping circuits is approximately equal to the ratio  $Z_{RG} / Y_{RG}$ . In practice, values ranging between  $5 \Omega$  and  $100 \Omega$  may often be suitable for the resistors (811) (822) of the damping circuits. The damping circuit (811) of Fig. 11 is a resistor which is also used for biasing the drain of several transistors of the transmitting circuit. We could therefore have considered that this resistor (811) is a part of the transmitting circuit.

The damping circuit made of a resistor (811) and the current sources (513) (531) of the transmitting circuit may be proportioned such that a zero voltage applied between the input terminals (571) (572) of the transmitting circuit for a given channel produces a practically zero voltage between the output terminals (681) (682) of the receiving circuit for the same channel.

This third embodiment is appropriate for the transmission of analog signals, and for the transmission of digital signals.

#### Fourth embodiment.

As a fourth embodiment of a device for implementing the method of the invention, given by way of non-limiting example, we have represented in Fig. 13 a device of the

invention comprising an interconnection (1) having  $n = 4$  transmission conductors and a return conductor (10) distinct from the reference conductor (7). Two termination circuits (4) are connected to the conductors of the interconnection (1), at both ends, each termination circuit being such that the impedance matrix of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor is, in a part of the frequency band used for transmission, approximately equal to a diagonal matrix of size  $n \times n$  such that all components of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients of the termination circuit with respect to the return conductor have an absolute value less than or equal to  $1/10$ . The 2 transmitting circuits (5) placed at 2 different abscissa  $z$ , receive at their inputs the signals from the 4 channels of the two sources (2), and the 5 output terminals of each transmitting circuit are connected to the 5 conductors of the interconnection (1). The three receiving circuits (6) are placed at three different abscissa  $z$  and the 5 input terminals of each receiving circuit are connected to the 5 conductors of the interconnection (1). Three damping circuits (8) are connected between the return conductor (10) and the reference conductor (7). The output of each receiving circuit (6) delivers 4 "output signals of the receiving circuit" to a destination (3).

In Fig. 13, each transmitting circuit (5) is associated with a receiving circuit (6) placed at the same abscissa  $z$  as the transmitting circuit (5), the transmitting circuit (5) and the associated receiving circuit (6) being a "pseudo-differential interfacing device having a switching circuit" described in said French patent application number 07/04949 and said international application number PCT/IB2008/051982, the transmitting circuit (5) delivering, when the transmitting circuit is in the activated state,  $n$  natural currents, each of said natural currents being mainly determined by the signal of only one channel of the source (2) connected to the transmitting circuit (5). We note that Fig. 13 shows a data bus architecture, and that the address and/or control lines needed to obtain the activated state of at most one transmitting circuit (5) at a given point in time are not shown in Fig. 13. Each of the "output signals of the receiving circuit" delivered by any one of the receiving circuits (6) is mainly determined by only one of the natural voltages referenced to the return conductor appearing at the input of the receiving circuit. Thus, the signals of the four channels of a source (2) connected to a transmitting circuit (5) in the activated state are sent to the four channels of the destinations (3) without noticeable external crosstalk.

We note that, in the device of Fig. 13, the transmitting circuits (5) and the receiving circuits (6) being connected in parallel with the interconnection (1), they may, in order not to disturb the propagation of waves along the interconnection in a detrimental way, and in order not to produce undesirable reflections at the ends of the interconnection, present high impedances to the interconnection. In the device of Fig. 13, two termination circuits (4) are necessary because waves coming from the interconnection (1) may be incident on both ends.

This fourth embodiment is intended for transmitting digital signals, and the receiving



circuit (6) may be of any suitable known type of pseudo-differential receiver for digital signals, for instance one of the pseudo-differential receivers described in said patents of the United States of America number 5,994,925 and 7,099,395.

In Fig. 13, the bus architecture uses a direct connection of the transmitting circuits (5) and of the receiving circuits (6) to the interconnection (1). This is not a characteristic of the invention. For instance, according to the invention, the transmitting circuits (5) and/or the receiving circuits (6) may be coupled to the interconnection (1) using one or more electromagnetic couplers. This type of coupling is for instance described in the patent of the United States of America number 7,080,186 entitled "Electromagnetically-coupled bus system". This type of indirect coupling may provide a higher transmission bandwidth.

## INDICATIONS ON INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

The invention is suitable for pseudo-differential transmission between integrated circuits through an interconnection having two or more transmission conductors, the transmission presenting reduced unwanted couplings.

We note that, in the embodiments of the invention given above by way of non-limiting examples and shown in Figures 11 and 12, the active components are MOSFETs. This is not at all a characteristic of the invention, and specialists understand that it would have also been possible to use bipolar transistors or other types of active components. Consequently, the invention may be implemented in integrated circuits made using any applicable manufacturing process.

The invention is suitable for the protection against the noise produced by unwanted electromagnetic couplings in printed circuit boards. The invention is particularly advantageous to printed circuit boards comprising wide-band analog circuits or fast digital circuits. For transmitting in  $m$  transmission channels, the invention has the advantage of only requiring  $m + 1$  pins on an integrated circuit providing the functions of a transmitting circuit and of a receiving circuit, as opposed to  $2m$  pins in the case of a transceiver for differential transmission.

The invention is particularly suitable for pseudo-differential transmission inside an integrated circuit, because it provides a good protection against the noise related to the currents flowing in the reference conductor and in the substrate of the integrated circuit.

The invention is suitable for an implementation in a data bus architecture.

The invention is particularly suitable for multilevel signaling, because this type of transmission scheme is more sensitive to noise than binary signaling.

The invention is particularly suitable for simultaneous bi-directional signaling, because this type of transmission scheme is more sensitive to noise than unidirectional signaling.

## CLAIMS

1. A method for transmitting through an interconnection (1) having  $n$  transmission conductors and a return conductor (10) distinct from a reference conductor (7),  $n$  being an integer greater than or equal to 2, the interconnection (1) being structurally combined with the reference conductor (7) throughout the length of the interconnection (1), the method providing, in a known frequency band,  $m$  transmission channels each corresponding to a signal to be sent from the input of at least one transmitting circuit (5) to the output of at least one receiving circuit (6), where  $m$  is an integer greater than or equal to 2 and less than or equal to  $n$ , the method comprising the steps of:
- numbering said transmission conductors from 1 to  $n$  and defining, for any integer  $j$  greater than or equal to 1 and less than or equal to  $n$ , at any given abscissa along said interconnection (1), a “natural current” of index  $j$  as the current flowing on the transmission conductor number  $j$ , and a “natural voltage referenced to the return conductor” of index  $j$  as the voltage between the transmission conductor number  $j$  and said return conductor (10);
- modeling the interconnection (1), in a part of said known frequency band, taking into account the lumped impedances seen by the interconnection (1) and caused by the circuits connected to the interconnection (1) elsewhere than at the ends of the interconnection (1), as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, said multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length, said multiconductor transmission line using said natural voltages referenced to the return conductor and said natural currents as variables;
- determining, for said multiconductor transmission line and said part of said known frequency band, the characteristic impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor;
- coupling the terminals of at least one termination circuit (4) to said return conductor (10) and to each of said transmission conductors, said at least one termination circuit (4) being, in said part of said known frequency band, approximately characterized, for said interconnection (1), by an impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor, said impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor being a matrix of size  $n \times n$ , said impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor being approximately equal to a diagonal matrix;
- using one said transmitting circuit (5) receiving said  $m$  signals to be sent, the output of said transmitting circuit (5) being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission conductors, the output of said transmitting circuit (5) delivering transmission variables to said interconnection (1); and
- using one said receiving circuit (6) delivering  $m$  “output signals of the receiving circuit”

corresponding each to a transmission channel, the input of said receiving circuit (6) being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission conductors and to said return conductor (10), each of said “output signals of the receiving circuit” being mainly determined by only one of said natural voltages referenced to the return conductor.

5 2. The method of claim 1, wherein, in said part of said known frequency band, each component of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients, with respect to the return conductor, of at least one said termination circuit (4), has an absolute value less than or equal to  $6/10$ .

3. The method of any of the claims 1 or 2, wherein, in said part of said known frequency band, the impedance matrix, with respect to the return conductor, of at least one said termination  
10 circuit (4), is approximately equal to a diagonal matrix minimizing a matrix norm of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients, with respect to the return conductor, of said at least one said termination circuit (4).

4. A device for transmission providing, in a known frequency band,  $m$  transmission channels each corresponding to a signal to be sent from the input of at least one transmitting circuit (5)  
15 to the output of at least one receiving circuit (6), where  $m$  is an integer greater than or equal to 2, comprises:

an interconnection (1) having  $n$  transmission conductors and a return conductor (10) distinct from a reference conductor (7),  $n$  being an integer greater than or equal to  $m$ , the interconnection (1) being structurally combined with the reference conductor (7)  
20 throughout the length of the interconnection (1), the interconnection (1) being such that the interconnection (1) can be modeled, in a part of said known frequency band, taking into account the lumped impedances seen by the interconnection (1) and caused by the circuits connected to the interconnection (1) elsewhere than at the ends of the interconnection (1), as a  $(n + 1)$ -conductor multiconductor transmission line, said  
25 multiconductor transmission line having uniform electrical characteristics over its length, said multiconductor transmission line using the natural voltages referenced to the return conductor and the natural currents as variables;

at least one said transmitting circuit (5) receiving said  $m$  signals to be sent, the output of said at least one said transmitting circuit (5) being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission  
30 conductors;

at least one said receiving circuit (6) delivering, when said at least one said receiving circuit (6) is in the activated state,  $m$  “output signals of the receiving circuit” corresponding each to a transmission channel, the input of said at least one said receiving circuit (6) being coupled to at least  $m$  of said transmission conductors and to said return conductor

(10), each of said “output signals of the receiving circuit” being mainly determined by only one of said natural voltages referenced to the return conductor; and  
at least one termination circuit (4) coupled to said return conductor (10) and to each of said transmission conductors, said at least one termination circuit (4) being, in said part of  
5 said known frequency band, when said at least one termination circuit (4) is in the activated state, approximately characterized, for said interconnection (1), by an impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor, said impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor being a matrix of size  $n \times n$  approximately equal to a diagonal matrix, said at least one termination circuit (4) being, in said part of said  
10 known frequency band, when said at least one termination circuit (4) is in the activated state, such that each component of the matrix of the voltage reflection coefficients, with respect to the return conductor, of said at least one termination circuit (4), has an absolute value less than or equal to 6/10.

5. The device of claim 4, wherein each of said termination circuits (4) is arranged at an end of  
15 said interconnection (1).

6. The device of any of the claims 4 or 5, wherein at least one said termination circuit (4) is, when said at least one said termination circuit (4) is in the activated state, approximately equivalent, for said transmission conductors and said return conductor (10), to a network consisting of  $n$  branches, each of said branches having a first terminal and a second terminal,  
20 each of said branches consisting of a passive linear two-terminal circuit element connected in series with a voltage source delivering a constant voltage, the first terminal of each of said branches being connected to one and only one of said transmission conductors, the second terminal of each of said branches being connected to said return conductor (10), each of said transmission conductors being connected to said first terminal of one and only one of said  
25 branches.

7. The device of any of the claims 4 to 6, wherein at least one said termination circuit (4) is made of a network of  $n$  resistors, each of said resistors being connected between one of said transmission conductors and said return conductor (10), each of said resistors being connected to a different transmission conductor.

30 8. The device of any of the claims 4 to 6, wherein the impedance matrix with respect to the return conductor, of at least one said termination circuit (4) in the activated state, can be adjusted by electrical means.

9. The device of any of the claims 4 to 6, wherein at least one said termination circuit (4) has an activated state and a deactivated state, each current flowing from said at least one said termination circuit (4) to one of said transmission conductors being substantially zero when said at least one said termination circuit (4) is in the deactivated state.
- 5 10. The device of any of the claims 4 to 9, further comprising one or more damping circuits (8) coupled to said return conductor (10), each of said damping circuits (8) being, for said return conductor (10), approximately equivalent to a network consisting of a passive two-terminal circuit element and a voltage source delivering a constant voltage, the passive two-terminal circuit element having a first terminal connected to said return conductor (10), the
- 10 passive two-terminal circuit element having a second terminal connected to the first terminal of the voltage source, the second terminal of the voltage source being connected to said reference conductor (7).




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3






FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8





FIG. 9

8 / 11



**FIG. 10**

9 / 11




FIG. 11

10 / 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/IB2008/052102

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. H04B3/32 H04L25/08 H04L25/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H04B H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>BROYDE F ET AL: "A New Method for the Reduction of Crosstalk and Echo in Multiconductor Interconnections"</p> <p>IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS I: FUNDAMENTAL THEORY AND APPLICATIONS, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 52, no. 2, February 2005 (2005-02), pages 405-416, XP011126847</p> <p>ISSN: 1057-7122</p> <p>cited in the application abstract</p> <p>* Alinéas I-IV et XI *</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-/--</p>	1-10

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☒ See patent family annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 October 2008

Date of mailing of the international search report

22/10/2008

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Galli, Paolo

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/IB2008/052102

## C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	FR 2 849 728 A (EXCEM [FR]) 9 July 2004 (2004-07-09) cited in the application abstract; figures 1-5 page 1, line 12 - page 2, line 34 page 10, line 27 - page 11, line 29 page 15, line 22 - page 19, line 33 page 21, line 23 - page 22, line 30 page 35, line 7 - line 23 -----	1-10
X	US 2006/267633 A1 (KING GREG [US]) 30 November 2006 (2006-11-30) abstract; figures 2,3 paragraphs [0001] - [0008], [0018] - [0031] -----	4-10
A	ABUSHAABAN M ET AL: "Modal Circuit Decomposition of Lossy Multiconductor Transmission Lines" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MICROWAVE THEORY AND TECHNIQUES, IEEE SERVICE CENTER, PISCATAWAY, NJ, US, vol. 44, no. 7, July 1996 (1996-07), XP011036447 ISSN: 0018-9480 * Alinéas I-III * abstract -----	1-10
A	US 6 195 395 B1 (FRODSHAM TIM [US]) 27 February 2001 (2001-02-27) cited in the application abstract column 1, line 5 - column 3, line 5 column 3, line 49 - column 5, line 5 -----	1-10

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/IB2008/052102

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
FR 2849728	A	09-07-2004	AU 2003296245 A1	29-07-2004
			WO 2004062129 A1	22-07-2004
			EP 1616394 A1	18-01-2006
			US 2006099926 A1	11-05-2006
			US 2007117446 A1	24-05-2007
US 2006267633	A1	30-11-2006	US 2008211535 A1	04-09-2008
US 6195395	B1	27-02-2001	DE 69914393 D1	04-03-2004
			DE 69914393 T2	01-07-2004
			EP 0944000 A2	22-09-1999
			HK 1024761 A1	24-09-2004
			SG 101921 A1	27-02-2004